UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,804	10/19/2004	Leonie Maria Geerdinck	NL 020303 ,	4276
24737 7590 10/18/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			WON, BUMSUK	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/511,804	GEERDINCK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bumsuk Won	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 O</u>	ctober 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the	application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected.						
•	,— · · · — ·						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application Es have been received in Application Es have been receive Eu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-9 and 11-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampden (US 2003/0168635) in view of Hiro (JP 01178584) which is a cited art in the IDS.

Regarding claim 1, Hampden discloses a luminescent screen (throughout the specification, specifically figures 35A-F and 40, 1208) comprising particles of luminescent material embedded in an inorganic material (paragraph 213) comprising aluminum phosphate and silicon oxide (paragraph 213), and the inorganic material fills pores between the particles of luminescent material (figures 35C and 35F).

Hampden does not discloses the aluminum phosphate being monoaluminum phosphate being used as an inorganic material..

Art Unit: 2879

Hiro discloses a phosphor layer (abstract) in an analogous art having a monoaluminum phosphate (abstract) used as an inorganic material, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a monoaluminum phosphate used as an inorganic material as disclosed by Hiro in the screen disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

Regarding claim 4, Hampden discloses a discharge lamp (figure 40) equipped with the screen claimed in claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, Hampden discloses a vessel (1202) that is transparent for visible light (paragraph 237) and the screen is deposited on part of an inner wall (figures 40 and 41).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Hampden discloses phosphor having yttrium oxide being doped (paragraph 171).

Regarding claim 8, Hampden discloses the lamp is fluorescent lamp (paragraph 237).

Regarding claim 9, Hampden discloses all the claim limitation except for diameter of particles of the luminescent material being greater than diameter of the inorganic particles of the aluminum phosphate by at least an order of magnitude of ten times.

Hiro discloses the luminescent material having luminescent particles greater than aluminum phosphate by at least 50 times (abstract, constitution), for the purpose of having effective light emitting performance.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have luminescent particles greater than aluminum phosphate by at least 50 times

Art Unit: 2879

disclosed by Hiro in the luminescent screen disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of having effective light emitting performance.

Regarding claim 11, Hampden discloses a luminescent screen (throughout the specification, specifically figures 35A-F and 40, 1208) comprising a first layer having a luminescent material having luminescent particle (1208, paragraphs 211-213, "phosphor particles"); and a second layer comprising an inorganic material having inorganic particles including aluminum phosphate (paragraph 213), and the second layer directly covering the first layer (paragraphs 211-213, "the phosphor particles are coated, figures 35A-F).

Hampden does not disclose the inorganic particles are smaller than the luminescent particles so that the inorganic particles fill pores between the luminescent particles, and the aluminum phosphate being monoaluminum phosphate.

Hiro discloses in an analogous art having a monoaluminum phosphate (abstract) used as an inorganic material, the inorganic particles are smaller than the luminescent particles (abstract, constitution, the phosphate is added for the adhering purpose, thus the phosphate is mixed between the phosphor particles), for the purpose of achieving excellent dispersibility (abstract, purpose).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a monoaluminum phosphate used as an inorganic material, the inorganic particles are smaller than the luminescent particles as disclosed by Hiro in the screen disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 12, Hiro discloses the luminescent particles are greater than aluminum phosphate by at least 50 times (abstract, constitution). The reason for combining is same as claim 11.

Regarding claim 13, Hiro discloses the inorganic material includes aluminum oxide and silicon oxide (abstract, constitution). The reason for combining is same as claim 11.

Regarding claim 14, Hampden discloses a discharge lamp (figure 40) comprising: a discharge vessel (1202); and a luminescent screen (throughout the specification, specifically figures 35A-F and 40, 1208) formed on a wall of the vessel (figures 40 and 41), the screen comprising a first layer having a luminescent material having luminescent particle (1208, paragraphs 211-213, "phosphor particles") formed on the wall of the vessel (figures 40 and 41); and a second layer comprising an inorganic material having inorganic particles, and the second layer directly covering the first layer (paragraphs 211-213, "the phosphor particles are coated, figures 35A-F), and the inorganic material fills pores between the particles of luminescent material (figures 35C and 35F).

Hampden does not discloses the aluminum phosphate being monoaluminum phosphate being used as an inorganic material..

Hiro discloses a phosphor layer (abstract) in an analogous art having a monoaluminum phosphate (abstract) used as an inorganic material, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a monoaluminum phosphate used as an inorganic material as disclosed by

Art Unit: 2879

Hiro in the screen disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

Regarding claim 15, Hampden discloses all the claim limitation except for diameter of particles of the luminescent material being greater than diameter of the inorganic particles of the aluminum phosphate by at least an order of magnitude of ten times.

Hiro discloses the luminescent material having luminescent particles greater than aluminum phosphate by at least 50 times (abstract, constitution), for the purpose of having effective light emitting performance.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have luminescent particles greater than aluminum phosphate by at least 50 times disclosed by Hiro in the luminescent screen disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of having effective light emitting performance.

Regarding claim 16, Hampden discloses the inorganic material includes silicon oxide (paragraph 213).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Hampden discloses phosphor having yttrium oxide being doped (paragraph 171).

Regarding claim 19, Hampden discloses a method of forming a luminescent screen on a lamp wall (figures 35A-F, 40 and 41) comprising the acts of: mixing luminescent particles with aluminum phosphate and silicon oxide particles to a slurry (paragraphs 211-213); applying the slurry to the lamp wall (figure 41); and drying the lamp wall (paragraph 240).

Hampden does not discloses the aluminum phosphate being monoaluminum phosphate being used as an inorganic material.

Art Unit: 2879

Hiro discloses a method of forming a phosphor layer (abstract) in an analogous art having a monoaluminum phosphate (abstract) used as an inorganic material, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a monoaluminum phosphate used as an inorganic material as disclosed by Hiro in the method disclosed by Hampden, for the purpose of protecting the layer from being damaged.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bumsuk Won whose telephone number is 571-272-2713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,804 Page 8

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bumsuk Won/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879